Committees:		Dates:
Corporate Projects Board Community and Children's Services Committee		21 August 2018 12 October 2018
Projects Sub		12 September 2018
Subject: Decent Homes upgrade works to Avondale Square Estate, Holloway Estate and William Blake Estate.	Gateway 7 Outcome Report Regular	Public
Report of:		For Decision
Director of Community & Children's Services		
Report Author: David Downing		

### **Summary**

## **Dashboard**

Programme status	Works complete. Pending approval of Gateway 7 and project
	closure
Overall Project Risk	Green/Low
Project Status	Budget: Red (Total budget at G2: £4,071,000.00)
compared to	Specification: Red (98 properties omitted post G5)
Gateway 2	Time: Green
RAG Status against	Budget: Green
last approved	Specification: Green
	Time: Green
Approved budget at	Works: £3,879,134.85
Gateway 5	Fees & Staff Costs: £484,891.86
	Total: £4,364,026.71
Approved budget at	Works: £4,234,658.54
post Gateway 5	Fees & Staff Costs: £129,368.17
Issues Report	Total: £4,364,026.71
Total Out-turn Cost	Works: £4,234,658.54
at Gateway 7	Fees & Staff Costs: £72,712.57
	Total: £4,307,370.57

## **Summary of project completed**

Wates Construction Ltd were appointed to conduct kitchen, bathroom and heating system upgrades to City of London tenanted flats on the Avondale Square, Holloway and York Way Housing Estates to bring them up to the Decent Homes standard. Upgrades to 403 tenanted properties were completed under this contract. As detailed in the post-Gateway 5 Issues Report (as approved at Projects Sub Committee 23/11/2016 and

DCCS Grand Committee 09/12/2016) works to a further 98 properties were omitted from the programme due to the additional expense of unforeseen works which were required to many of those properties which were completed. A contract for these omitted properties has been tendered as a separate project (incorporating lessons learnt) within the Gateway process with works now being delivered by the appointed contractor.

#### Recommendations

1. It is recommended that the lessons learnt be noted and the project be closed.

#### **Main Report**

Brief description of project	Upgrade of kitchens, bathrooms and heating systems to City tenanted flats where works were identified as required at Avondale Square, Holloway and York Way Estates to bring these properties up to the Decent Homes standard as set by the Department for Community and Local Government.
2. Assessment of project against SMART Objectives	No SMART objectives were set for this project at the time of inception (2014). However, in retrospect these would be as follows:
	Specific & Realistic: tenanted flats requiring upgrades to kitchens, bathrooms and heating systems were brought up to the Decent Homes standard. This was achieved for 403 properties.
	Measurable & Achievable: the standard of refurbished flats meets or exceeds that prescribed by legislation. This was achieved for 403 properties.
	Timely: works to flats included in the programme to be completed within defined project timescale. As stated, the full programme of works was not completed within this contract.
3. Assessment of project against success criteria	1.403 tenanted properties across the three housing estates covered by this project have been brought up to Decent Homes standard.
	2. 98 tenanted properties on the Avondale Square Estate where works were required were however omitted from the programme due to additional unforeseen expenses accrued when completing many of the 403 flats which were upgraded.
4. Key Benefits	Compliance with statutory Decent Homes requirements.
	2. Improved and modernised facilities for the residents living in the properties where works are completed.

		3. Improved energy efficiency for systems were modernised.	properties where heating
5.	Was the project specification fully delivered (as agreed at Gateway 5 or any subsequent Issue report)	Yes, as per the Issues Report ap Committee 23/11/2016 and DCC 09/12/2016.	
6.	Programme	The project was not completed within the agreed programme	
		As work progressed it became exadditional works were required ovidentified during the initial pre-tent main elements of these additional rewires, plastering work following removals and the addition of medication and the addition of medication and the reduce the risk of condensation. It these additional works led to the project budget and the necessary programme, whereby, as detailed works were required were omitted change of project scope was apperly Projects Sub Committee 23/11/20 Committee 09/12/2016.  The required works to the omittee subsequently, with Committee apparate project within the Gate now being delivered by the appoint	ver and above those oder survey process. The old works were full electrical removal of tiling, asbestos chanical extraction fans to Accommodating the cost of early exhaustion of the very early curtailment of the diabove, 98 properties where different the programme. This roved by Issues Report at D16 and DCCS Grand
7.	Budget	The project was completed within	the agreed budget.
		The aforementioned post-Gateway 5 Issues Report authorised the amendment of the Gateway 5 budget to allocate £355,523.69 of the approved Fees budget to meet the cost of the additional works required within the tenanted flats. The overall project budget remained unchanged.	
		Estimated Budget at Gat	
		Total	£4,071,000.00
		Approved Budget at Gateway 5	
		Works Fees and staff costs	£3,879,134.85 £484,891.86
		Total	£4,364,026.71
		1000	٨٦,٥٥٦,٥٤٥.١ ١

Revised budget following Issues Report	
Works	£4,234,658.54
Fees and staff costs	£129,368.17
Total	£4,364,026.71

Out-turn cost at Gateway 7	
Works	£4,234,658.54
Fees and staff costs	£72,712.57
Total	£4,307,370.57

# Final Account Verification

#### Not Verified

Verification by Chamberlains not required as project does not exceed risk or budgetary thresholds.

\*Please note that the Chamberlain's department Financial Services division will need to verify Final Accounts relating to medium and high risk projects valued between £250k and £5m and <u>all</u> projects valued in excess of £5m.

## **Review of Team Performance**

8. Key strengths	The works which were completed were finished to a high quality.
9. Areas for improvement	1. A more robust specification and more comprehensive series of pre-contract condition surveys would have identified the nature and extent of the additional works which were required and allowed for them to be included in the tender package.
	2. The earlier appointment of a Quantity Surveyor would have allowed greater control over costs during the initial stages of project delivery.
	3. Up to date periodic electrical surveys carried out in advance of the refurbishment works would have given a clearer picture of the extent of the electrical works required.
10.Special recognition	N/A

#### **Lessons Learnt**

#### 11. Key lessons

This was the first major contract of this kind let under the City of London's current Decent Homes Programme. There have been a number of lessons learned from this including:

- 1. More rigorous analysis of submitted tenders and subsequent awarding of the contract by the project team in the assessment phase, particularly in scrutinising cost allowances for electrical remedial works, asbestos removal, mechanical ventilation installations.
- 2. Increased quality of specifications and other contract documentation to reduce the potential for disputes, variations and claims for additional works by, for example, tying the contractor to fixed costs for the completion of major components.
- 3. To introduce an omittance sheet to counter variations and remove the cost of standard items from fixed cost installations where there is no requirement to fit them.
- 4. Improved processes and procedures for contract management to ensure contracts are managed effectively and we get value for money, including early appointment of Quantity Surveyors and utilising a Clerk of Works throughout the contract.
- 5. Closer alignment of the various programmes of work contained within the Decent Homes Programme to ensure effective co-ordination and programming, minimise disruption for our residents, cost reductions and value for money through economies of scale.
- 6. To complete cyclical electrical testing to properties identified for Decent Homes upgrades to pick up on the condition of the electrical systems prior to starting works.

# 12. Implementation plan for lessons learnt

Experience gained from this project will be implemented in other similar future projects. The lessons learnt and areas identified for improvement have already been implemented into three similar Decent Homes projects currently being delivered by DCCS Property Services.

# **Appendices**

None

# **Contact**

Report Author	David Downing
Email Address	david.downing@cityoflondon.gov.uk
Telephone Number	0207 332 1645